

## ARKANSAS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	
<u>Distr Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
01 Arkansas Post NMem	521,000	533,000	12,000	0	545,000
01,03 Buffalo National River	3,666,000	3,758,000	107,000	0	3,865,000
03 Fort Smith NHS	551,000	762,000	24,000	0	786,000
04 Hot Springs NP	3,099,000	3,167,000	74,000	0	3,241,000
02 Little Rock Central					
High School NHS	75,000	297,000	1,000	0	298,000
03 Pea Ridge NMP	638,000	650,000	13,000	0	663,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**ARKANSAS**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>
Little Rock Central High Sch NHS	Ongoing Project
Pea Ridge NMP	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Remarks</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Fort Smith NHS	1 acre	\$850

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Hot Springs NP	Remove lead paint, stabilize/rehab bathhouses	\$17,236

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Project Title</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Buffalo NR	Rehab Buffalo Point River Access Road	\$450

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$741

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$6,041

## **Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition**

### **Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

**Program or Park Area:** Fort Smith National Historic Site

**National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002):** Priority No. 37

**Location:** On the border of Arkansas and Oklahoma

**States/Counties/Congressional Districts:**

State of Arkansas/Sebastian County/Congressional District No.3

State of Oklahoma/Sequoyah County/Congressional District No.2

**Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining:** \$358,012

**Cost Detail:**

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	1	<b>\$850,000</b>
Future Funding Need	29	\$1,150,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

**Improvements:** Commercial structures.

**Description:** The Act of September 13, 1964, authorized establishment of Fort Smith National Historic Site.

**Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal:** This was one of the first United States military posts in the Louisiana Territory and served as a base of operations for enforcing Federal Indian policy from 1817 to 1896. The national historic site contains the remains of two frontier military forts and a Federal court.

**Threat:** Acquisition and provision of safe parking facilities for the national historic site are a high priority.

**Need:** Funds in the amount of \$850,000 are needed in fiscal year 2002 to acquire a 1.12-acre tract containing the historic Frisco Railroad Station and an adjoining parking lot. The owners have indicated a willingness to sell the property in a manner that best benefits the local community. Acquisition would benefit the national historic site by providing needed parking spaces and office space within the existing building.

**Interaction with Landowners and Partners:** In contacts with the Service, the owners have indicated a willingness to sell the property in a manner that best benefits the local community. The city of Fort Smith, the Chamber of Commerce, and the Advertising and Promotion Commission have expressed their desire for Federal acquisition.

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**

<b>National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET</b>		<b>Priority:</b> 19	
		<b>Planned Funding Year:</b> 2002	
		<b>Funding Source:</b> Line Item Construction	
<b>Project Title:</b> Remove Lead Paint, Stabilize, and Rehabilitate Bathhouses for Adaptive Use			
<b>Project No:</b> HOSP 150		<b>Park Name:</b> Hot Springs National Park	
<b>Region:</b> Midwest		<b>Congressional District:</b> 04	<b>State:</b> Arkansas
<b>Project Description:</b> Bathhouse Row is a collection of eight historic bathhouse structures, located in a National Historic Landmark District. They range in size from 12,000 square feet to over 28,000 square feet. Six of the bathhouses have been closed for several years and are in deteriorated conditions from inadequate care, high humidity in the buildings, no climatic controls, and no rehabilitative work. The presence of lead paint restricts the amount and quality of maintenance work being performed. Work proposed includes several phases to remove hazardous lead-based paint from the interior and exteriors of three bathhouses, rehabilitate roofs of two bathhouses, remove deteriorated plaster from walls and ceilings of six bathhouses, and replaster and repaint, stabilize foundations and regrade landscape to prevent water penetration, patch/repair concrete floors, repair exterior stucco finish. Rehabilitate/replace windows and doors in six bathhouses, install elevators, rehabilitate staircases, rehabilitate electrical systems, plumbing, and HVAC systems. Install four intrusion/fire alarm systems. Repair/replace skylights in four bathhouses. Paint interiors in six bathhouses and exteriors of four bathhouses.			
<b>Project Justification:</b> One bathhouse, the Buckstaff, has remained open under a concession contract and the Fordyce Bathhouse has been rehabilitated and adapted for use as the park's visitor center and museum. This project would continue work that has previously been done which includes roof rehabilitation on the Superior, Hale, Quapaw, Ozark, and partial rehab of the Maurice roof. Lead paint has been abated from the Superior, Hale, and the Quapaw. The Superior and Hale have had exterior stabilization and their lobby areas restored. Exterior stabilization of the Quapaw is currently being addressed. Visitors on Bathhouse Row cannot be allowed access to the bathhouses. Until the structures are rehabilitated, this restriction must remain in effect.			
<b>Ranking Categories</b>			
80% Critical Health or Safety Deferred		0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement		0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance	
20% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance		0% Other Capital Improvement	
0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:		NO: X	Total Project Score: 940
<b>Project Cost and Status</b>			
Project Cost Estimate	\$	%	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	17,236,000	100	Appropriated to Date: \$3,925,000
Capital Improvement Work:	0	0	Requested in FY2002 Budget \$2,741,000
Total Project Estimate:	17,236,000	100	Planned Funding FY2002 \$2,741,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project: \$10,570,000
			Total: \$17,236,000
Class of Estimate: C		Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001
<b>Dates (Qtr/Year)</b>			
	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	4th/2001		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 11, 2001

## ILLINOIS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2002
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	
20 Lincoln Home NHS	1,937,000	2,000,000	53,000	0	2,053,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**ILLINOIS**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$1,156

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$12,604

## INDIANA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
08 George Rogers Clark NHP	615,000	628,000	16,000	0	644,000
01,03 Indiana Dunes NL	6,751,000	6,903,000	169,000	0	7,072,000
09 Lincoln Boyhood NMem	762,000	781,000	19,000	0	800,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**INDIANA**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>
Lincoln Boyhood NMem	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Remarks</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Indiana Dunes NL	a portion of 61 acres	\$500

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Project Title</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Indiana Dunes NL	Mill and Overlay Roads and parking areas	\$1,000

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$919

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$7,934

## **Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition**

### **Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

**Program or Park Area:** Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore

**National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002):** Priority No. 30

**Location:** Along the southern shore of Lake Michigan southeast of Chicago.

**State/County/Congressional District:** State of Indiana/LaPorte, Lake and Porter Counties/Congressional District Nos. 1 and 3

**Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining:** There is no limitation.

**Cost Detail:**

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	A portion of 61 acres	<b>\$500,000</b>
Future Funding Need	82	\$3,500,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

**Improvements:** Industrial.

**Description:** The national lakeshore was authorized November 11, 1966, to preserve certain portions of the Indiana Dunes and other areas of scenic, scientific, and historic interest, and recreational values.

**Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal:** The national lakeshore preserves an important remnant of a unique environment resulting from the retreat of the last great continental glacier over 14,000 years ago. It is the most completely preserved Great Lakes geological evolutionary sequence in the entire Great Lakes Basin. The national lakeshore contains 15,175 acres of land including 15 miles of the Lake Michigan shoreline.

**Threat:** The land protection plan assigns highest priority to the acquisition of vacant, undeveloped tracts to protect the ecosystem from threats of development. The plan also provides for the opportunity to rehabilitate lakeshore frontage that was previously used as buffer zone by industrial landowners.

**Need:** The requested funds will be used to acquire a tract owned by National Steel Corporation (Midwest Steel). They propose a phased acquisition to return the site to its natural state and restore the lake frontage to an area available for park visitor use. The Environmental Protection Agency is already working on the removal of this industrial site and the restoration of the acreage to habitat for animal and plant life.

**Interaction with Landowners and Partners:** The National Steel Corporation (Midwest Steel) has initiated meetings with the local community and the park staff to discuss this acquisition and the need to clean the site and sell it to the national lakeshore. It has been agreed that the acquisition be a "phased acquisition" with the tract being divided, if necessary, to accommodate the available funding, if the total appraised value amount is not available at one time. The Save the Dunes Council, a local environmental organization, has continually supported the mission of the lakeshore and its need to acquire lands as they become available inside the boundary.

## IOWA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
02 Effigy Mounds NM	620,000	633,000	18,000	0	651,000
01 Herbert Hoover NHS	870,000	890,000	24,000	0	914,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**IOWA**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION  
None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$860

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$6,296

## KANSAS

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2002
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u> <u>Changes</u>	<u>Program</u> <u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
02 Brown v Board of Education NHS	524,000	533,000	9,000	0	542,000
01 Fort Larned NHS	748,000	764,000	22,000	0	786,000
02 Fort Scott NHS	870,000	886,000	19,000	0	905,000
01 Nicodemus NHS	279,000	278,000	1,000	0	279,000
01 Tallgrass Prairie NPreserve	410,000	705,000	11,000	0	716,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**KANSAS**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Brown v Board of Education NHS	Rehab Monroe School (completion)	\$10,378

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$846

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$6,735

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**

<b>National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET</b>	<b>Priority:</b> 11		
	<b>Planned Funding Year:</b> 2002		
	<b>Funding Source:</b> Line Item Construction		
<b>Project Title:</b> Rehabilitate Monroe School (Completion)			
<b>Project No:</b> BRVB 100	<b>Park Name:</b> Brown v. Board of Education National Historic Site		
<b>Region:</b> Midwest	<b>Congressional District:</b> 02	<b>State:</b> Kansas	
<b>Project Description:</b> Monroe School, a national historic landmark and the park's most significant historic feature and cultural resource, was constructed in 1926 as an elementary school for black children and closed in 1975 due to declining enrollment. Linda Brown was enrolled at Monroe School when the Brown v. Board of Education case was filed in 1951, and when the Supreme Court decision was handed down in 1954. This project consists of rehabilitating the school for adaptive use as a visitor center, museum, administrative offices and library. The exterior of the building, as well as certain portions of the interior, will be restored to conditions indicative of the period centering around 1951. This project includes installation of all utility systems and intrusion/fire detection/fire suppression and alarm systems; replacement of the brick chimney, roof tiles and windows; addition of handicapped accessible features; and correction of any structural deficiencies. Improvements will be approximately 75 percent rehabilitation and 25 percent restoration. The funding requested will be used for the design, production, and installation of permanent interpretive exhibits and audiovisual media at the Monroe School site.			
<b>Project Justification:</b> The interior of the school building has been drastically altered since the period of significance (1951-54). Interior walls have been removed, all utility systems have been removed, and many original fixtures have been replaced by modern additions. There is substantial smoke and water damage to rooms on the first level. Lead paint is present throughout the building. There are no sanitary facilities in place. The building is currently in non-compliance with Americans with Disabilities Act requirements. In its current state, the building is not safe or suitable for public use			
<b>Ranking Categories</b>			
0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred		0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	
37% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement		0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance	
0% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance		38% Other Capital Improvement	
25% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:		NO: X	Total Project Score: 521
<b>Project Cost and Status</b>			
Project Cost Estimate	\$	%	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	0	0	Appropriated to Date: \$7,903,000
Capital Improvement Work:	10,378,000	100	Requested in FY 2002 Budget: \$2,475,000
Total Project Estimate:	10,378,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002: \$2,475,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0
			Total: \$10,378,000
Class of Estimate: C		Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001
<b>Dates (Qtr/Year)</b>			
	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	1st/2002		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 12, 2001

## MICHIGAN

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002 Uncontrol	FY 2002 Program	FY 2002
<u>Distr Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
01 Isle Royale NP	2,808,000	3,197,000	77,000	0	3,274,000
01 Keweenaw NHP	486,000	1,326,000	6,000	0	1,332,000
01 Pictured Rocks NL	1,384,000	1,731,000	34,000	55,000	1,820,000
01 Sleeping Bear Dunes NL	2,908,000	3,265,000	91,000	0	3,356,000

For FY 2002, Program Changes reflect increases for the Natural Resource Challenge.

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**MICHIGAN**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>
Pictured Rocks NL	Ongoing Project
Sleeping Bear Dunes NL	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Remarks</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Sleeping Bear Dunes NL	275 acres	\$1,100

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Keweenaw NHP	Restore Union Building	\$2,500

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$1,109

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$10,982

## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

Program or Park Area: **Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 27

Location: Northwest Michigan along Lake Michigan shoreline

State/County/Congressional District: State of Michigan/Benzie and Leelanau Counties/Congressional District No. 1

Land Acquisition Limitation Remaining: None. The over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	275	<b>\$1,100,000</b>
Future Funding Need	1,388	\$4,900,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Some residential.

Description: The national lakeshore was established on October 21, 1977. The land protection plan assigns highest priority to the acquisition of tracts in the Miller Hill and Bow Lakes areas. The Act of October 22, 1982, authorized the addition to the lakeshore of 1,575 acres in these areas, but directed that acquisition would require the owner's consent unless significant damage to the resources of the lakeshore was threatened.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: This is a diverse landscape with massive sand dunes, quiet rivers, sand beaches, beech-maple forests, clear lakes, and rugged bluffs towering as high as 460 feet above Lake Michigan. Two offshore wilderness islands offer tranquility and seclusion.

Threat: The National Park Service will seek to acquire the minimum interest necessary to protect the fragile resources of the national lakeshore from the adverse impacts of development.

Need: Funds of \$1,100,000 are needed in fiscal year 2002 to acquire five tracts containing 275 acres. The tracts proposed for acquisition are some of the last privately owned properties remaining to be acquired in the Bow Lakes Unit of the national lakeshore. The Bow Lakes Unit contains significant examples of glacial lakes and forested valleys that were formed when the great ice blocks melted. Upon completion of necessary acquisition, the Bow Lakes Unit would be a day-use area with trail access to significant geologic features and natural resources.

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The landowners are all aware that these tracts are within the boundary of the Sleeping Bear Dunes National Lakeshore and contain important natural resources which the national lakeshore was created to protect. The National Park Service works closely with landowners inside unit boundaries to ensure that when they are willing to sell their lands there is a method for preserving the resources and contributing to the mission of the park unit.

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**

<b>NATIONAL PARK SERVICE</b> <b>Project Data Sheet</b>	<b>Priority: 34</b>
	<b>Planned Funding Year: 2002</b>
	<b>Funding Source: Line Item Construction</b>

**Project Title:** Restore Historic Union Building

<b>Project No:</b> KEWE 101	<b>Park Name:</b> Keweenaw National Historical Park	
<b>Region:</b> Midwest	<b>Congressional District:</b> 01	<b>State:</b> Michigan

**Project Description:** This project is designed to rehabilitate the 1888 Union Building, a property listed on the National Register in 1977 and located within the Calumet National Historic Landmark District, for visitor services/interpretive purposes. Work will consist of stabilization and complete rehabilitation of the three story brick building envelope and structural system (approximately 20,300 sq. ft.); installation of new energy efficient mechanical, electrical, detection and suppression systems; repair/reconstruction of the back exterior wall; installation of an elevator; repairs to interior elements and finishes, restoration/rehabilitation of all windows; and roof repair.

**Project Justification:** The Union Building has a rich and varied history of use in Calumet since its construction in 1888. At various times the building housed the area's first bank, the post office, and served as a meeting place for various service organizations and community functions on the second and third floors. The building is the first property acquisition by the park and will serve to facilitate and leverage other partnership opportunities within the village. The previous owner was able to perform only minor repairs to the building. Major structural elements such as brick parapets and rear wall show advanced deterioration of mortar and displacement of masonry units, posing a danger of falling brick on visitors and employees. The Union Building is located in the Calumet National Landmark District, and is adjacent to Calumet and Hecla Mining Company industrial core, also a national historic landmark. It is therefore a pivotal element in the cultural landscape, as well as a starting point for walking tours of the industrial and community life thematic elements of the park.

**Ranking Categories**

25% Critical Health or Safety Deferred	0% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance
0% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement	0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance
75% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance	0% Other Capital Improvement
0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement	
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES: NO: X Total Project Score: 775	

**Project Cost and Status**

	\$	%		
Deferred Maintenance Work:	2,500,000	100	Appropriated to Date:	\$0
Capital Improvement Work:	0	0	Requested in FY 2001 Budget:	\$2,500,000
Total Project Estimate:	2,500,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2001:	\$2,500,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project:	\$0
			Total:	\$2,500,000
Class of Estimate: C			Estimate Good Until:	Oct. 2001

**Dates (Qtr/Year)**

	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	1st/2002		
Project Complete:			Last Updated: April 12, 2001

## MINNESOTA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002 Uncontrol	FY 2002 Program	FY 2002
<u>Distr Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
08 Grand Portage NM	807,000	826,000	21,000	0	847,000
* Mississippi NR & RA	1,553,000	1,570,000	24,000	0	1,594,000
02 Pipestone NM	636,000	649,000	17,000	0	666,000
06,08 Saint Croix NSR & Lower Saint Croix NSR	2,855,000	2,920,000	71,000	0	2,991,000
08 Voyageurs NP	2,656,000	3,121,000	77,000	0	3,198,000

\* Congressional districts for Mississippi NR & RA include 03, 04, 05 and 06.

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**MINNESOTA**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>
Grand Portage NM	Ongoing Project
Pipestone NM	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Remarks</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Voyageurs NP	245 acres	\$1,000

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$948

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$8,168

## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

Program or Park Area: **Voyageurs National Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 32

Location: Northern Minnesota near International Falls

State/County/Congressional District: State of Minnesota/Koochiching and St. Louis Counties/Congressional District No.8

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: None. However, the over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	245	<b>\$1,000,000</b>
Future Funding Need	816	\$4,000,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Largely undeveloped.

Description: Voyageurs National Park was authorized January 1, 1971. The land acquisition program has been underway since fiscal year 1972. Of the 218,200 acres comprising the park, only 1,300 acres remain privately owned. Approximately 1,061 acres of privately owned land remain to be acquired after fiscal year 2001.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park was established to preserve and protect the outstanding scenery, geological conditions, and waterway systems that constituted part of the historic route of the voyageurs who contributed to the opening of the United States. The park contains more than 30 lakes dotted with islands and surrounded by forests.

Threat: Threats of recreational and residential development require expeditious completion of the acquisition program at the park.

Need: For FY 2002, \$1,000,000 is needed to acquire four tracts containing a total of 244.3 acres at the park, including tracts presently used for hunting and trapping.

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The landowners are all aware that these tracts are within the boundary of the Voyageurs National Park and contain important natural resources the park was created to protect. The National Park Service works closely with landowners inside unit boundaries to ensure that when they are willing to sell their land there is a method for preserving the resources and contributing to the mission of the park unit.

## MISSOURI

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
07 George Washington Carver NM	712,000	724,000	19,000	0	743,000
05 Harry S Truman NHS	1,025,000	1,050,000	27,000	0	1,077,000
01 Jefferson Natl Expansion Mem	6,767,000	7,076,000	185,000	0	7,261,000
08 Ozark National Scenic Riverways	4,524,000	5,338,000	120,000	0	5,458,000
03 Ulysses S Grant NHS	526,000	547,000	20,000	0	567,000
07 Wilson's Creek NB	1,083,000	1,597,000	29,000	0	1,626,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**MISSOURI**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>
Wilson's Creek NB	Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Ulysses S Grant NHS	Restore structures, provide visitor services	\$7,117

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$940

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$8,371

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**

<b>National Park Service</b> <b>PROJECT DATA SHEET</b>		<b>Priority:</b> 18	
		<b>Planned Funding Year:</b> 2002	
		<b>Funding Source:</b> Line Item Construction	
<b>Project Title:</b> Restore Historic Structures and Provide Visitor Services			
<b>Project No:</b> ULSG 100C		<b>Park Name:</b> Ulysses S. Grant National Historic Site	
<b>Region:</b> Midwest	<b>Congressional District:</b> 03	<b>State:</b> Missouri	
<b>Project Description:</b> This project will complete restoration and rehabilitation/development activities at Ulysses S. Grant. The historic barn was moved in the 1960s from its original location and into a 100-year floodplain. It experiences localized flooding at least two to four times a year. This project will bring structures into compliance with life/safety codes; provide access (including disability access) to park resources; restore/rehabilitate a primary park resource; eliminate safety hazards to make the site safe for public and staff; and provide baseline visitor services/interpretation for the park. The site currently has a dangerous vehicle/pedestrian conflict due to a single lane entrance road that crosses the pedestrian pathway leading to a temporary gravel parking lot. In addition, employees are working in or near unstable buildings, and are exposed to hazardous materials in lead-based paint finishes. Employees risk being exposed to powdery arsenic and lead. The current, temporary visitor center is a small room built inside the historic barn. The new visitor center will provide the optimal education experience for the visitor. Visitation is expected to increase from 18,000 in 2000 to over 100,000 when the project is complete.			
<b>Project Justification:</b> The historic barn will be moved out of the floodplain and onto a new foundation with a full basement constructed to house library and museum collections. The historic fabric will be retained and the barn will remain intact to serve as an exhibit. A two-lane entrance road will replace the existing single lane entrance. The entrance will be moved south, out of the floodplain, and will increase safety by improving the site line and traffic flow between the historic site and Grant's Farm. A permanent parking area with the capacity to handle busses and recreational vehicles will be constructed. A new visitor center complete with information and orientation facilities, rest rooms, a theater, classroom, sales area, and administrative offices will be constructed near the historic barn. Educational exhibits will be fabricated and installed in all five historic structures.			
<b>Ranking Categories</b>			
85% Critical Health or Safety Deferred		1% Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	
7% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement		0% Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance	
7% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance		0% Other Capital Improvement	
0% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:		NO: X	Total Project Score: 966
<b>Project Cost and Status</b>			
Project Cost Estimate	\$	%	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	6,619,000	93	Appropriated to Date: \$0
Capital Improvement Work:	498,000	7	Requested in FY 2002 Budget: \$7,117,000
Total Project Estimate:	7,117,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002: \$7,117,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0
			Total: \$7,117,000
Class of Estimate: B		Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001
<b>Dates (Qtr/Year)</b>			
	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award:	4th/2002		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 12, 2001

## MONTANA (MWR)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2002
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	
00 Fort Union Trading Post NHS	526,000	619,000	16,000	0	635,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**MONTANA (MWR)**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS

None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Fort Union Trading Post NHS	33 acres	\$100

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$784

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$7,012

## **Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition**

### **Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

**Program or Park Area:** Fort Union Trading Post National Historic Site

**National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002):** Priority No. 20

**Location:** Near junction of the Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers, in the States of Montana and North Dakota

**States/Counties/Congressional Districts:**

State of Montana/Richland and Roosevelt Counties/Congressional District At Large

State of North Dakota/McKenzie and Williams Counties/Congressional District At Large

**Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining:** None. The over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

**Cost Detail:**

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	33	<b>\$100,000</b>
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

**Improvements:** Largely undeveloped.

**Description:** Authorized in 1966, Fort Union Trading Post National Historic Site preserves the location of the principal fur-trading post of the American Fur Company on the Upper Missouri River. Fort Union served the Assiniboiné, Crow, Cree, Ojibway, and Blackfeet tribes.

**Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal:** Visitation to this national historic site is expected to increase significantly due to the upcoming bicentennial commemoration of the Lewis and Clark Expedition. The expedition established nearby Fort Mandan where they spent a productive winter gathering information about the Indian tribes and the lands to the west.

**Threat:** The land protection plan recommends acquisition of all remaining privately owned tracts. Acquisition is necessary to protect and preserve the historic resources of the site.

**Need:** For fiscal year 2002, \$100,000 is needed to acquire five tracts containing a total of 32.99 acres. Two of the tracts to be acquired are needed for a gravel road to access Bodmer Overlook, from which artist Karl Bodmer created a classic painting of the fort in 1833. The tracts are components of a plan to develop the Bodmer Overlook and Trail to provide hikers with a unique and historic view of the fort. The remaining tracts are undeveloped and much like they were at the time Lewis and Clark traversed the area. The tracts contain mature cottonwood trees and lush undergrowth. Several of the landowners have threatened to convert the tracts to irrigated farmland, thereby destroying the historic integrity of the site.

**Interaction with Landowners and Partners:** In contacts with National Park Service, the landowners have been receptive to Federal acquisition of the tracts. Local communities are very supportive of the commemoration efforts. The mayor of Williston, North Dakota, where Fort Union Trading Post National Historic Site is located, is a supporter of the site and welcomes enhancements to its physical composition. The economic development coordinator sees the historic site as a resource for the community and preservation of its historic character through acquisition will enhance the tourism industry and the quality of life in the community.

## NEBRASKA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002 Uncontrol	FY 2002 Program	FY 2002
<u>Distr Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
03 Agate Fossil Beds NM	476,000	487,000	11,000	0	498,000
01 Homestead NM of America	560,000	622,000	17,000	82,000	721,000
01,03 Missouri NR	408,000	538,000	1,000	0	539,000
01,03 Niobrara NSR	690,000	690,000	6,000	0	696,000
03 Scotts Bluff NM	599,000	619,000	19,000	0	638,000

For FY 2002, Program Changes reflect increases for the Natural Resource Challenge.

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**NEBRASKA**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

**Park Area**

Niobrara NSR

**Type of Project**

Ongoing Project

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$796

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$5,971

## NORTH DAKOTA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002 Uncontrol	FY 2002 Program	FY 2002
<u>Distr Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
00 Fort Union Trading Post NHS	526,000	619,000	16,000	0	635,000
00 Knife River Indian Village NHS	462,000	648,000	11,000	0	659,000
00 Theodore Roosevelt NP	1,703,000	1,900,000	56,000	0	1,956,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## NORTH DAKOTA

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

None

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Fort Union Trading Post NHS	33 acres	\$100

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$689

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$5,079

## **Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition**

### **Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

**Program or Park Area:** Fort Union Trading Post National Historic Site

**National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002):** Priority No. 20

**Location:** Near junction of the Missouri and Yellowstone Rivers, in the States of Montana and North Dakota

**States/Counties/Congressional Districts:**

State of Montana/Richland and Roosevelt Counties/Congressional District At Large

State of North Dakota/McKenzie and Williams Counties/Congressional District At Large

**Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining:** None. The over-ceiling authority of Public Law 95-42 would permit the requested appropriation.

**Cost Detail:**

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	33	<b>\$100,000</b>
Future Funding Need	0	0

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

**Improvements:** Largely undeveloped.

**Description:** Authorized in 1966, Fort Union Trading Post National Historic Site preserves the location of the principal fur-trading post of the American Fur Company on the Upper Missouri River. Fort Union served the Assiniboine, Crow, Cree, Ojibway, and Blackfeet tribes.

**Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal:** Visitation to this national historic site is expected to increase significantly due to the upcoming bicentennial commemoration of the Lewis and Clark Expedition. The expedition established nearby Fort Mandan where they spent a productive winter gathering information about the Indian tribes and the lands to the west.

**Threat:** The land protection plan recommends acquisition of all remaining privately owned tracts. Acquisition is necessary to protect and preserve the historic resources of the site.

**Need:** For fiscal year 2002, \$100,000 is needed to acquire five tracts containing a total of 32.99 acres. Two of the tracts to be acquired are needed for a gravel road to access Bodmer Overlook, from which artist Karl Bodmer created a classic painting of the fort in 1833. The tracts are components of a plan to develop the Bodmer Overlook and Trail to provide hikers with a unique and historic view of the fort. The remaining tracts are undeveloped and much like they were at the time Lewis and Clark traversed the area. The tracts contain mature cottonwood trees and lush undergrowth. Several of the landowners have threatened to convert the tracts to irrigated farmland, thereby destroying the historic integrity of the site.

**Interaction with Landowners and Partners:** In contacts with National Park Service, the landowners have been receptive to Federal acquisition of the tracts. Local communities are very supportive of the commemoration efforts. The mayor of Williston, North Dakota, where Fort Union Trading Post National Historic Site is located, is a supporter of the site and welcomes enhancements to its physical composition. The economic development coordinator sees the historic site as a resource for the community and preservation of its historic character through acquisition will enhance the tourism industry and the quality of life in the community.

## OHIO

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>FY 2002</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
* Cuyahoga Valley NP	8,508,000	9,393,000	206,000	0	9,599,000
03,07 Dayton Aviation NHP	476,000	581,000	9,000	0	590,000
06,07 Hopewell Culture NHP	649,000	665,000	18,000	105,000	788,000
19 James A Garfield NHS	140,000	143,000	2,000	0	145,000
05 Perry's Victory & International					
Peace Memorial	698,000	714,000	18,000	0	732,000
02 William Howard Taft NHS	508,000	519,000	13,000	0	532,000

\* Congressional districts for Cuyahoga Valley NP include 13, 14 and 19.

For FY 2002, Program Changes reflect increases for the Natural Resource Challenge.

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**OHIO**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>
First Ladies NHS	Potential New Start
Fallen Timbers Battlefield	Potential New Start

LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Remarks</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Dayton Aviation Heritage NHP	1 acre	\$750

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Project Title</u></b>	<b><u>Funds</u></b>
Cuyahoga Valley NRA	Rehab two train crossing signals	\$190

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$1,115

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$11,558

## Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition

### **Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

Program or Park Area: **Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park**

National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002): Priority No. 33

Location: Dayton, Ohio

State/County/Congressional District: State of Ohio/Montgomery County/Congressional District No.3

Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining: There is no limitation.

Cost Detail:

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	1	<b>\$750,000</b>
Future Funding Need	1	\$500,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

Improvements: Commercial and residential structures.

Description: The Act of October 24, 2000 (Public Law 106-356) defined the areas included in the park: (1) Core parcel in Dayton consisting of the Wright Cycle Company Building, Hoover Block, and lands between, (2) Setzer Building property (also known as the Aviation Trail Building property), Dayton, Ohio, (3) the residential properties at 26 South Williams Street and at 30 South Williams Street, Dayton, Ohio, (4) Huffman Prairie Flying Field, located at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, (5) Wright 1905 Flyer III and Wright Hall, including constructed additions and attached structures, known collectively as the John W. Berry, Sr., Wright Brothers Aviation Center, Dayton, Ohio, and (6) Paul Laurence Dunbar State Memorial, Dayton, Ohio.

Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal: The park was established to preserve the area's aviation heritage associated with Wilbur and Orville Wright, and to honor the life and work of poet Paul Laurence Dunbar, a friend and classmate of the Wright Brothers.

Threat: The act directs the Secretary to acquire the Wright Cycle Company Building and Hoover Block, subject to the availability of appropriated funds, by donation, purchase with donated or appropriated funds, exchange or transfer. The Secretary may acquire the other properties identified in the act by the same acquisition methods. Of the lands comprising the park, 84.41 acres are Federally-owned and administered by the Air Force. Two tracts totaling 0.25-acre were acquired by donation in November 1995. A 0.17-acre tract was donated in 1999.

Need: For fiscal year 2002, funds in the amount of \$750,000 are needed to acquire a 0.18-acre tract of land containing the Aviation Trail Building. The boundary of the park was revised in July 2000 to include the tract which is owned by Aviation Trail, Incorporated. The National Park Service and Aviation Trail, Incorporated, have joined to combine the rehabilitation projects of the Wright Brothers' Print Shop building (a.k.a. the Hoover Block) and the adjacent Aviation Trail Building (a.k.a. the Setzer Building) into a single Service-managed project. The joint development project is necessary to preserve historic resources associated with the Hoover Block, save costs through elimination of redundant development (i.e., restrooms, elevators, stairs), and create a focal-point interpretive center for the park's core unit. Federal acquisition of this 0.18-acre tract will ensure full Federal participation in the development and future management of the interpretive center.

Interaction with Landowners and Partners: The Service has been in frequent contact with the owner who is receptive to the acquisition. Federal acquisition of this 0.18-acre tract will ensure full Federal participation in the development and future management of the interpretive center and is strongly supported by the local community.

## OKLAHOMA (MWR)

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2002
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	
02 Fort Smith NHS	551,000	762,000	24,000	0	786,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

## OKLAHOMA (MWR)

(dollars in thousands)

### PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

#### GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS

None

#### LAND ACQUISITION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Remarks</u>	<u>Funds</u>
------------------	----------------	--------------

Fort Smith NHS	1 acre	\$850
----------------	--------	-------

#### CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

#### PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

#### HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$819

#### STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$6,939

## **Land Acquisition and State Assistance/Federal Land Acquisition**

### **Fiscal Year 2002 National Park Service Federal Land Acquisition Program**

**Program or Park Area:** Fort Smith National Historic Site

**National Park Service Land Acquisition Priority (FY 2002):** Priority No. 37

**Location:** On the border of Arkansas and Oklahoma

**States/Counties/Congressional Districts:**

State of Arkansas/Sebastian County/Congressional District No.3

State of Oklahoma/Sequoyah County/Congressional District No.2

**Land Acquisition Limitation Amount Remaining:** \$358,012

**Cost Detail:**

Date	Acres	Total Amount
FY 2002 Request	1	<b>\$850,000</b>
Future Funding Need	29	\$1,150,000

The total amount includes the cost of title, appraisal, environmental site assessment, acquisition, and relocation assistance.

**Improvements:** Commercial structures.

**Description:** The Act of September 13, 1964, authorized establishment of Fort Smith National Historic Site.

**Natural/Cultural Resources Associated with Proposal:** This was one of the first United States military posts in the Louisiana Territory and served as a base of operations for enforcing Federal Indian policy from 1817 to 1896. The national historic site contains the remains of two frontier military forts and a Federal court.

**Threat:** Acquisition and provision of safe parking facilities for the national historic site are a high priority.

**Need:** Funds in the amount of \$850,000 are needed in fiscal year 2002 to acquire a 1.12-acre tract containing the historic Frisco Railroad Station and an adjoining parking lot. The owners have indicated a willingness to sell the property in a manner that best benefits the local community. Acquisition would benefit the national historic site by providing needed parking spaces and office space within the existing building.

**Interaction with Landowners and Partners:** In contacts with the Service, the owners have indicated a willingness to sell the property in a manner that best benefits the local community. The city of Fort Smith, the Chamber of Commerce, and the Advertising and Promotion Commission have expressed their desire for Federal acquisition.

## SOUTH DAKOTA

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2002
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	
00 Badlands NP	2,619,000	2,996,000	76,000	0	3,072,000
00 Jewel Cave NM	783,000	853,000	24,000	0	877,000
00 Minuteman Missile NHS	0	4,989,000	0	-4,989,000	0
00 Mount Rushmore NMem	2,402,000	2,473,000	76,000	0	2,549,000
00 Wind Cave NP	1,786,000	1,835,000	57,000	0	1,892,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**SOUTH DAKOTA**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)

<b><u>Park Area</u></b>	<b><u>Type of Project</u></b>
Badlands NP	Ongoing Project
Minuteman Missile NHS	Potential New Start

LAND ACQUISITION

None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION

None

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM

None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS

State apportionment: \$712

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS

Proposed state apportionment: \$5,312

## WISCONSIN

Park Operational Base Summary: The table below shows the annual park operating base for all parks within this state. Park operational base funds are supplemented by as yet undetermined amounts of project funding from regional or servicewide-managed programs, such as cyclic maintenance, the Natural Resources Preservation Program, and the Drug Enforcement Program.

If a park is in more than one state, the park is included in each of the appropriate state tables. The full operating base is shown; no attempt has been made to split the park operating base amount between two or more states.

Congr	FY 2000	FY 2001	FY 2002	FY 2002	FY 2002
<u>Distr</u> <u>Park Units</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Enacted</u>	<u>Uncontrol</u>	<u>Program</u>	<u>Estimate</u>
			<u>Changes</u>	<u>Changes</u>	
07 Apostle Islands NL	2,109,000	2,393,000	56,000	0	2,449,000
03,07 Saint Croix NSR & Lower Saint Croix NSR	2,855,000	2,920,000	71,000	0	2,991,000

The table does not include programs from other appropriations such as General Management Plans, Land Acquisition, Line Item Construction and Maintenance, Federal Lands Highway Program, and Historic Preservation Fund State Grants. Information on the distribution of funds in those programs is outlined on the next page. There are separate sections on General Management Plans and the Trails Management Program.

**WISCONSIN**  
(dollars in thousands)

PROGRAMS NOT INCLUDED IN PARK BASE:

GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLANS (See GMP section for further information)  
None

LAND ACQUISITION  
None

CONSTRUCTION: LINE ITEM CONSTRUCTION (see attached)

<u>Park Area</u>	<u>Type of Project</u>	<u>Funds</u>
Apostle Islands NS	Correct utility system for mainland unit	\$796

PROPOSED FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAY PROGRAM  
None

HISTORIC PRESERVATION FUND: STATE GRANTS  
State apportionment: \$961

STATE CONSERVATION GRANTS  
Proposed state apportionment: \$7,882

**Construction and Major Maintenance/Line Item Construction and Maintenance**

<b>National Park Service PROJECT DATA SHEET</b>		<b>Priority:</b> 25	
		<b>Planned Funding Year:</b> 2002	
		<b>Funding Source:</b> Line Item Construction	
<b>Project Title:</b> Correct Utility Systems For Mainland Unit			
<b>Project No:</b> APIS 378		<b>Park Name:</b> Apostle Islands National Lakeshore	
<b>Region:</b> Midwest	<b>Congressional District:</b> 07	<b>State:</b> Wisconsin	
<b>Project Description:</b> Work includes the following projects: construct a centrally located water system to provide 22,000 gallons per day for meeting fire suppression and the area's domestic water demands; construct a six-unit Aerated Vault Toilet Facility to serve public demands 24-hours per day; bring up to standards five passive sanitary septic systems serving the permanent residences, the remote maintenance facility, the seasonal housing, and the contact station; and install underground electrical and telephone utilities. Little Sand Bay is the major mainland visitor use site at the national lakeshore. It is also the site of park museum storage. The site is adjacent to wetland areas and Lake Superior. The only utilities in the area are those which were acquired in association with purchased summer cabins and other structures. These include small individual wells and septic systems. Septic systems are undersized for visitor use and public facilities often have to be shut down due to overloading.			
<b>Project Justification:</b> The Little Sand Bay area is home to the major NPS operations on the mainland unit of the lakeshore. The area of about 80 acres contains substandard, heavily used structures which were acquired through the land acquisition process when the park was established. Current utilities, installed and designed for seasonal vacation use, serve structures immediately adjacent to National Register properties. The utility systems are substandard and overtaxed. Sanitary systems are leaking, power outages and circuit overloads are frequent, fire suppression equipment is stored in a degraded garage, and water systems are shallow and turbid. Consequently, this condition limits occupancy and visitation levels below operational needs and public demand.			
<b>Ranking Categories</b>			
0% Critical Health or Safety Deferred		0 % Critical Mission Deferred Maintenance	
90% Critical Health or Safety Capital Improvement		0 % Compliance & Other Deferred Maintenance	
0% Critical Resource Protection Deferred Maintenance		0 % Other Capital Improvement	
10% Critical Resource Protection Capital Improvement			
Capital Asset Planning 300B Analysis Required: YES:		NO: X	Total Project Score: 870
<b>Project Cost and Status</b>			
Project Cost Estimate	\$	%	
Deferred Maintenance Work:	0	0	Appropriated to Date: \$0
Capital Improvement Work:	796,000	100	Requested in FY 2002 Budget: \$796,000
Total Project Estimate:	796,000	100	Planned Funding FY 2002: \$796,000
			Future Funding to Complete Project: \$0
			Total: \$796,000
Class of Estimate: C		Estimate Good Until:	Dec. 2001
<b>Dates (Qtr/Year)</b>			
	Sch'd	Actual	
Construction Start Award	4th/2002		
Project Complete:	NA		Last Updated: April 12, 2001